# Impact of different Insecticides used for Bollworm Control on the Population of Jassid and Whitefly in Cotton

## M. Mohan and K.N. Katiyar

Division of Entomolgy, Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi-110 012, India.

The impact of insecticides used for controlling bollworms was evaluated on sucking pests such as jassid and whitefly. Imidacloprid was found to be the most effective insecticide in suppressing jassid population up to next spray, whereas, its continuous use invited more whitefly population. The population reduction of jassid was also better in monocrotophos and endosulfan treated plots. Neem treated plots recorded relatively less whitefly population, whereas, continuous use of cypermethrin induced 10-fold resurgence. Recommended schedule maintained both jassid and whitefly below economic threshold level.

**KEY WORDS**: Jassid, whitefly, cotton, insecticides

The population explosion of sucking pests particularly whitefly after the introduction of synthetic pyrethroids is not a good sign. Cotton crop is damaged by multiple insect pests. The insecticides recommended for controlling bollworms have different effect on sucking pests. During later stage of the crop growth, it is not advisable to take separate control measures for sucking pests. To evaluate these problems, the insecticidal schedules for bollworm complex were designed carefully and their impact was studied on sucking pests.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field layout: The experiments were laid out at Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, during Kharif 1995. A newly developed upland cotton variety Pusa 8-6 (Gossypium hirsutum) was taken as the test variety. Field trial was laid out in a randomized block design with three replications and seven

treatments. The plot size was  $26.25 \text{ m}^2$  with a spacing of  $75 \times 30 \text{ cm}$ . All agronomic practices were followed as per the recommendations for raising the crop under irrigated condition in northern India. The details of the spray schedule are given in Table 1. First spray was done on August 14th, 1995 (at 50 % flowering) and subsequent three sprays were given at an interval of 15 days. The quantity of spray fluid required was calculated at each stage of the crop by applying a known quantity of water, sprayed on the control plot.

Sampling: The nymphal population of jassid, Amrasca biguttula (Ishida), and adults of whitefly, Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius) was recorded from three leaves per plant randomly. Totally 10 plants per plot were screened at regular intervals both in treated and control plots. Observations were made in the morning hours between 7.00 and 9.00 A.M. The figures were converted into suitable transformations for statistical analysis.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Jassid: It is evident from Table 2, that three sprays with imidacloprid followed by etofenprox at 15 d interval combat the jassid population very effectively as compared to control. The population of jassid was very low in  $T_1$  even before the commencement of foliar spray, which shows that effect of imidacloprid as seed treatment still persisted. Similar results have earlier been reported. All other insecticide schedules were more effective as compared to control. Among that monocrotophos and endosulfan sprays were effective against jassid up to two weeks. These findings are in agreement with the results obtained by various authors  $1^{-3}$ .

Whitefly: The first two sprays of

imidacloprid were effective in checking the population of whitefly, whereas, the subsequent sprays were not found to be effective in checking the whitefly (Table 3). Similar results were obtained earlier<sup>4</sup>. The neem formulation was effective against whitefly up to 7-11 d, whereas, continuous application of cypermethrin after monocrotophos resurged the population to the tune of 10 fold. Similar effect due to the use of synthetic pyrethroids were reported<sup>5-7</sup>.

#### CONCLUSION

It could be concluded that the insecticidal schedules recommended for controlling bollworm complex have different effect on jassid and whitefly population. The continuous

Table 1. Details of spray schedules

Treatment	Details of treatments	Concentration of insecticides	Dosage a.i. ha <sup>-1</sup> (g)		
$T_1$	Imidacloprid seed treatment	70% WS	5 g kg <sup>-1</sup> seed		
,	Imidacloprid 3 sprays	20% EC	40		
	· +				
	Etofenprox 1 spray	10% EC	100		
Τ,	Neem (Nethrin) 4 sprays	-	5 ml litre <sup>-1</sup> water		
$T_3$	Monocrotophos 1 spray	36% WSC	500		
.,	+				
	Cypermethrin 3 sprays	25% EC	60		
$T_4$	Endosulfan 4 sprays	35% EC	750		
	Ethion 4 sprays	50% EC	750		
T <sub>5</sub> T <sub>6</sub>	Recommended schedule (each 1 spray)				
O	i) Neem (Nethrin)	-	5 ml litre-1 of water		
	ii) Cypermethrin	25% EC	60		
	11i) Endosulfan	35% EC	750		
	111) Triazophos	40% EC	600		
$T_7$	Control (untreated check)				
Days of sp	oray				
	1st - August 14, 1995				
	2nd - September 1, 1995				
	3rd - September 18, 1995				
	4th - October 5, 1995				

Table 2. Impact of different insecticides on jassid population

		Average number of jassid nymphs 30 leaves <sup>-1</sup>										
Treatmen	ats After 1st spray			After 2nd spray			After 3rd spray			After 4th spray		
	1 DAS	7 DAS	13 DAS	1 DAS	7 DAS	13 DAS	1 DAS	7 DAS	13 DAS	1 DAS	7 DAS	13 DAS
T,	1.5	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.3	1,7	1.0	0.0	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.0
	(1.3)	(1.0)	(1.3)	(1.0)	(1.1)	(1.6)	(1.3)	(1.0)	(1.1)	(1.0)	$(1\ 0)$	(1.0)
$T_2$	20.0	20.3	32.3	11.0	21.7	14.7	9.0	70	1.7	1.7	1.3	1.3
	(4.6)	(4.6)	(5.8)	(3.5)	(4.8)	(3.9)	(3.2)	(2.8)	(1.6)	(1.6)	(1.5)	(15)
$T_3$	6.7	60	6.7	1.7	30.0	13.0	3 3	13.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	(2.8)	(2.6)	(2.6)	(1.6)	(5.6)	(3.7)	(2.1)	(3.7)	(1.4)	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)
T <sub>4</sub>	7.0	9.0	16.3	1.7	11.0	11.0	2.0	3.7	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.3
4	(2.8)	(3.2)	(4.2)	(1.6)	(3.5)	(3.5)	(1.7)	(2.1)	(1.9)	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.1)
T <sub>5</sub>	18.3	20.3	24.0	19.7	4.7	10.0	4.7	8.7	5.7	1.3	1.0	0.3
	(4.4)	(4.6)	(50)	(4.5)	(2.4)	(3.3)	(2.4)	(3.1)	(2.6)	(1.5)	(1.4)	(1.1)
T <sub>6</sub>	20.3	19.3	15.3	12.7	2.0	28.0	10.7	6.3	3.3	0.0	1.0	10
	(4.6)	(45)	(4.0)	(3.7)	(1.7)	(5.4)	(3.4)	(2.7)	(2.1)	(1.0)	(14)	(1.4)
T <sub>7</sub>	70.7	64.7	53.3	46.0	27.3	20.7	10.7	10.3	8.0	1.7	1.3	0.0
	(8.5)	(8.1)	(7.4)	(6.9)	(5.3)	(4.7)	(3.4)	(3.4)	(2.9)	(1.6)	(1.5)	(1.0)
SE(d)	(0.10)	(0.05)	(0.11)	(0.12)	(0.13)	(0.12)	(0.11)	(0.13)	(0.18)	(0.09)	(0.07)	(0.12)
C.D. 5%	(0.22)	(0.12)	(0.25)	(0.27)	(0 28)	(0.26)	(0 25)	(0.29)	(0.39)	(0.21)	(0.16)	(0.26)

DAS: Days after spraying

Figures in parentheses are  $\sqrt{x} + 1$  transformed values

Table 3. Impact of different insecticides on whitefly population

	Average number of whitefly adults 30 leaves <sup>-1</sup>											
Treatments Aft		er 1st sp	pray	After 2nd spray			After 3rd spray			After 4th spray		
	1 DAS	7 DAS	13 DAS	1 DAS	7 DAS	13 DAS	1 DAS	7 DAS	13 DAS	1 DAS	7 DAS	13 DAS
$T_1$	4.0	5.0	1.0	10	8 3	10.3	2.7	84.3	54.0	12 3	18.3	30.0
Т,	10.0	8 3	6.3	2.7	11.7	9.7	1.0	26.0	32.7	28.0	29.7	18.7
$T_{2}$	8.0	10.7	4.7	2.3	42.3	21.3	6.7	104.0	324.3	195.0	305.0	76.3
T ,	8.3	7.7	2.3	1.7	22.0	14.0	1.7	58.0	76.0	20.7	60.7	24.3
T <sub>5</sub>	110	10	12.7	1.3	8.7	15.7	2.0	22.7	43.0	14.0	34.3	210
$T_6$	9.0	7.3	16.7	1.7	12.0	24.0	3.3	48.0	53.7	40.3	58.3	19 0
T,	9.0	10.7	21.3	5.7	12.7	8.3	3.7	26.7	34.0	28.7	44.7	26.3
SÉ(d)	1.34	0.75	1.03	0.60	0.76	0.75	0.41	0.87	0.86	0.74	0.92	0 77
C.D. 5%	2.93	1.63	2.25	1.31	1 65	1.63	0.90	1.90	1.88	1.61	2.11	1 68

DAS . Days after spraying

application of same insecticide should be avoided. Even though the repeated use of imidacloprid proved effective against jassid, but totally ineffective against bollworms. The recommended schedule should be followed for effective management of all insects pests.

### REFERENCES

- Shah BR, Board PK, Patel JR, Mehta DM and Patel JJ (1990) Bioefficacy of various insecticides against brinjal Jassid, Amrasca biguttula Ishida. Indian J Pl Prot 18(2): 261-263.
- Singh JP and Gupta GP (1993) Impact of various insecticides on intermittent population of jassid and whitefly infesting American cotton during different spray schedules followed for the control of bollworm complex. J Ent Res 17(4): 297-303.
- Mahla JC and Jayaswal AP (1993) Comparative bioefficacy of some insecticides against sucking pests of cotton. J Cotton Res Dev 7(1): 125-131.
- Anonymous (1995) Studies on the efficacy of insecticides against sucking pests of cotton at vegetative and fruiting phase. Ann Progress

- Report, AICCIP, Agricultural Research Station, Sri Ganganagar, Rajasthan, p. 89-92.
- Reddy AS, Rosaiah B and Rao TB (1985) Control of cotton whitefly in Andhra Pradesh. *Indian* Fmg 35(8): 21-22.
- David BV, Jesudasan RWA and Winstone AA (1986) Effect of insecticides on the population build up of Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius) on cotton. In: Resurgence of Sucking pests (Ed. S. Jayaraj) Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, p. 116-124.
- Gupta GP and Katiyar KN (1988) Effect of insecticidal application against bollworms and their response to whitefly in cotton. *Pesticides* 33(4): 33-35.

(Manuscript received July 28, 1998, revised February 21, 1999, accepted March 15, 2000)